arXiv:math/0404081v1 [math.DG] 5 Apr 2004

Double forms, curvature structures and the (p,q)-curvatures

M.-L. Labbi*

Abstract

We introduce a natural extension of the metric tensor and the Hodge star operator to the algebra of double forms to study some aspects of the structure of this algebra. These properties are then used to study new Riemannian curvature invariants, called the (p, q)-curvatures. They are a generalization of the p-curvature obtained by substituting the Gauss-Kronecker tensor to the Riemann curvature tensor. In particular, for $p = 0$, the $(0, q)$-curvatures coincide with the H. Weyl curvature invariants, for $p = 1$ the $(1, q)$-curvatures are the curvatures of generalized Einstein tensors and for $q = 1$ the $(p, 1)$-curvatures coincide with the p-curvatures.

Also, we prove that for an Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$ the second H. Weyl curvature invariant is nonegative, and that it is nonpositive for a conformally flat manifold with zero scalar curvature. A similar result is proved for the higher H. Weyl curvature invariants.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 53B20, 53C20, 53C21.

Keywords. double form, curvature structure, (p, q)-curvature, Gauss-Kronecker curvature, H. Weyl curvature invariants.

1 Introduction

Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension n. We denote by $\Lambda^*M = \bigoplus_{p\geq 0} \Lambda^{*p}M$ the ring of differential forms on M. Considering the tensor product over the ring of smooth functions, we define $\mathcal{D} = \Lambda^*M \otimes \Lambda^*M = \bigoplus_{p,q\geq 0} \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ where $\mathcal{D}^{p,q} = \Lambda^{*p}M \otimes \Lambda^{*q}M$. It is graded associative ring and called the ring of double forms on M.

---

[*] Address: Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Bahrain, Isa Town 32038 Bahrain. E-mail: labbi@sci.uob.bh

The ring of curvature structures on M is the ring $\mathcal{C} = \sum_{p\geq 0} \mathcal{C}^p$ where $\mathcal{C}^p$ denotes symmetric elements in $\mathcal{D}^{p,p}$.

These notions have been developed by Kulkarni [3], Thorpe [7] and other mathematicians.
The object of this paper is to study some properties of these structures, and then to apply them to study generalized p-curvature functions.

The paper is divided into 5 sections. In section 2, we study the multiplication map by g^l in $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$. In particular we prove that it is one to one if $p+q+l \leq n$. This result will play an important role in simplifying complicated calculations (see for example the applications in sections 5). We also deduce some properties of the multiplication map by g.

In section 3, we introduce a natural inner product $<,>$ in $\mathcal{D}$ and we extend the Hodge operator $*$ in a natural way to $\mathcal{D}$. Then, we prove two simple relations between the contraction map and the multiplication map by g, namely for all $\omega \in \mathcal{D}$,we have

$$g\omega = *c*\omega$$

Also we prove that the contraction map is the adjoint of the multiplication by g, precisely for all $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$< g\omega_1, \omega_2 > = < \omega_1, c\omega_2 >$$

and we deduce some properties of the contraction map c.

At the end of this section, we deduce a canonical orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ and we give explicit formulas for the orthogonal projections onto the different factors.

In section 4, we concentrate on the ring of symmetric double forms satisfying the first Bianchi identity which shall be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_1$. We prove in this context a useful explicit formula for the Hodge star operator. Also, we emphasize its action on the different factors of the previous orthogonal decomposition of double forms.

In section 5, we define new Riemannian curvature invariants, namely the (p,q)- curvature tensors $R_{(p,q)}$ and their sectional curvatures $s_{(p,q)}$.
Note that these curvatures include many of well known curvatures.
For $q=1$, the $(p,1)$-curvature coincide with the p-curvature. In particular, $s_{(0,1)}$ is the half of the scalar curvature and $s_{(n-2,1)}$ is the sectional curvature of (M,g).

For $p=0$ and $2q=n$, $s_{(0,\frac{n}{2})}$ is up to a constant the Killing-Lipshitz curvature. More generally, $s_{(n-2q,q)}(P)$ is, up to a constant, the Killing-Lipshitz curvature of $P^{\perp}$.

For $p=0$, $s_{(0,q)}$ are scalar functions which generalize the usual scalar curvature. They are, up to a constant, the H. Weyl curvature invariants, that is the integrands in the Weyl tube formula [8].
Finally, for $p=1$, $R_{(1,q)}$ are generalized Einstein tensors. In particular, for $q=1$ we recover the usual Einstein tensor.

This section contains also several examples and properties of these curvature invariants. In particular we prove using the $(p,1)$-curvatures a characterization of Einstein metrics and conformally flat metrics with constant scalar curvature. Also a generalization of the previous result to the higher (p,q)-curvatures is proved.

In the last section we prove under certain geometric hypothesis on the metric, a restriction on the sign of the H. Weyl curvature invariants, that are the integrands in his well known tube formula [8]. In particular we prove the following results:

If (M,g) is an Einstein manifold with dimension $n \geq 4$, then $h_4 \geq 0$ and $h_4 \equiv 0$ if and only if (M,g) is flat.

If (M,g) is a conformally flat manifold with zero scalar curvature and dimension $n \geq 4$, then $h_4 \leq 0$ and $h_4 \equiv 0$ if and only if (M,g) is flat.

where h_4 is the second H. Weyl curvature invariant , which can be defined by

$$h_4 = |R|^2 - |c(R)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|c^2(R)|^2$$

where R denotes the Riemann curvature tensor of (M,g).

2 The Algebra of double forms

Let (V,g) be an Euclidean real vector space of dimension n. In the following we shall identify whenever convenient (via their Euclidean structures), the vector spaces with their duals. Let $\Lambda^*V = \bigoplus_{p\geq 0}\Lambda^{*p}V$ (resp. $\Lambda V = \bigoplus_{p\geq 0}\Lambda^{p}V$) denote the exterior algebra of p-forms (resp. p-vectors) on V. Considering the tensor product, we define the space of double forms $\mathcal{D} = \Lambda^*V \otimes \Lambda^*V = \bigoplus_{p,q\geq 0}\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ where $\mathcal{D}^{p,q} = \Lambda^{*p}V \otimes \Lambda^{*q}V$. It is a bi-graded associative algebra, where the multiplication is denoted by a dot., we shall omit it whenever it is possible.

For $\omega_1 = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ and $\omega_2 = \theta_3 \otimes \theta_4 \in \mathcal{D}^{r,s}$, we have

$$\omega_1.\omega_2 = (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_2).(\theta_3 \otimes \theta_4) = (\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3) \otimes (\theta_2 \wedge \theta_4) \in \mathcal{D}^{p+r,q+s} \tag{1}$$

Recall that each element of the tensor product $\mathcal{D}^{p,q} = \Lambda^{*p}V \otimes \Lambda^{*q}V$ can be identified canonically with a bilinear form $\Lambda^p V \times \Lambda^q V \to \mathbf{R}$. That is a multilinear form which is skew symmetric in the first p-arguments and also in the last q-arguments. Under this identification, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_1.\omega_2(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_{p+r}, y_1 \wedge ... \wedge y_{q+s})\\
&= (\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3)(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_{p+r})(\theta_2 \wedge \theta_4)(y_1 \wedge ... \wedge y_{q+s})\\
&= \frac{1}{p!r!s!q!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{p+r}, \rho \in S_{q+s}} \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\rho)\omega_1(x_{\sigma(1)} \wedge ... \wedge x_{\sigma(p)}; y_{\rho(1)} \wedge ... \wedge y_{\rho(q)})\\
&\qquad\qquad \omega_2(x_{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge ... \wedge x_{\sigma(p+r)}; y_{\rho(q+1)} \wedge ... \wedge y_{\rho(q+s)})
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_1^k(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_{kp}, y_1 \wedge ... \wedge y_{kq}) =\\
&= (\theta_1 \wedge ... \wedge \theta_1)(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_{kp})(\theta_2 \wedge ... \wedge \theta_2)(y_1 \wedge ... \wedge y_{kp})\\
&= \frac{1}{(p!)^k(q!)^k} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{kp}, \rho \in S_{kq}} \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\rho)\omega_1(x_{\sigma(1)} \wedge ... \wedge x_{\sigma(p)}; y_{\rho(1)} \wedge ... \wedge y_{\rho(q)})\\
&\qquad ...\omega_1(x_{\sigma(p(k-1)+1)} \wedge ... \wedge x_{\sigma(kp)}; y_{\rho(q(k-1)+1)} \wedge ... \wedge y_{\rho(kq)})
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In particular, if $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{D}^{1,1}$ we have

$$\omega_1^k(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_k, y_1 \wedge ... \wedge y_k) = k! \det[\omega_1(x_i, y_j)] \tag{4}$$

We now introduce a basic map on $\mathcal{D}$:

Definition 2.1 *The contraction c maps $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ into $\mathcal{D}^{p-1,q-1}$. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$, set $c\omega = 0$ if $p = 0$ or $q = 0$. Otherwise set*

$$c\omega(x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_{p-1}, y_1 \wedge ... \wedge y_{q-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega(e_j \wedge x_1 \wedge ...x_{p-1}, e_j \wedge y_1 \wedge ... \wedge y_{q-1})$$

where $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V.

The contraction map c together with the multiplication map by g, (which shall be denoted also by g), play a very important role in our study.

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$, the following formula was proved in [3]

$$c(g\omega) = gc\omega + (n-p-q)\omega \tag{5}$$

After consecutive applications of the previous formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c^k(g\omega) &= gc^k\omega + k(m+k-1)c^{k-1}\omega, \text{ where } m = n-p-q \\ c^k(g^2\omega) &= g^2c^k\omega + 2k(m+k-2)gc^{k-1}\omega + k(k-1)(m+k-3)(m+k-2)c^{k-2}\omega \\ c^k(g^3\omega) &= g^3c^k\omega + 3k(m+k-3)g^2c^{k-1}\omega + 3k(k-1)(m+k-3)(m+k-4)gc^{k-2}\omega \\ &\quad + k(k-1)(k-2)(m+k-3)(m+k-4)(m+k-5)c^{k-3}\omega \end{aligned}$$

More generally, we have

Lemma 2.1 *For all $k, l \geq 1$ and $\omega \in D^{p,q}$, we have*

$$c^k(\frac{g^l}{l!}\omega) = \frac{g^l}{l!}c^k\omega + \sum_{r=1}^{\min\{k,l\}} C_r^k \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(n-p-q+k-l-i)\frac{g^{l-r}}{(l-r)!}.c^{k-r}\omega \tag{6}$$

Corollary 2.2 *If $n = p+q$ and $\omega \in D^{p,q}$ then for all k we have*

$$c^k(g^k\omega) = g^k(c^k\omega)$$

Proof. After taking $k = l$ and $n = p+q$ in formula 6, we get

$$c^k(\frac{g^k}{k!}\omega) = \frac{g^k}{k!}.c^k\omega + \sum_{r=1}^{k} C_r^k \prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(-i)\frac{g^{k-r}}{(k-r)!}c^{k-r}\omega = \frac{g^k}{k!}c^k\omega$$

■

As a second consequence of the previous lemma, we get the following result which generalizes another lemma of Kulkarni [3]:

Proposition 2.3 *The multiplication by g^l is injective on $D^{p,q}$ whenever $p+q+l < n+1$.*

Proof. This property is true for $l = 0$, suppose that $g^{l-1}\omega = 0 \Rightarrow \omega = 0$ for $p+q+l-1 < n+1$. Suppose $g^l\omega = 0$ and $p+q+l < n+1$, then the contractions $c^k(g^l.\omega) = o$ for all k.

Taking $k = 1, 2, ..., k, ..., \min\{p, q\}, \min\{p, q\} + 1$ and after a simplification (if needed) by $g^{l-1}, g^{l-2}, ..., g^{l-k}, ..., g^{l-\min\{p,q\}+1}$, respectively, we get using the previous lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
-gc\omega &= l(n-p-q+1-l)\omega \\
-gc^2\omega &= (l+1)(n-p-q+2-l)c\omega \\
&\vdots \\
-g.c^k\omega &= (l+k-1)(n-p-q+k-l)c^{k-1}\omega \\
&\vdots \\
-g.c^{\min\{p,q\}}\omega &= (l+\min\{p,q\}-1)(n-\max\{p,q\}-l)c^{\min\{p,q\}-1}\omega \\
0 &= (l+\min\{p,q\})(n-\max\{p,q\}+1-l)c^{\min\{p,q\}}\omega
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have $c^{\min\{p,q\}}\omega = ...c^k\omega... = \omega = 0$. ■

Remark 2.1 *1. The previous proposition cannot be obtained directly from Kulkarni's Lemma[3]. Since consecutive applications of that lemma show that the multiplication by g^l is 1-1 only if $p+q+2l-2 < n$.*

2. We deduce from the previous proof that more generally we have

$$g^l\omega = 0 \Rightarrow c^k\omega = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad l+p+q < n+1+k$$

Corollary 2.4 *1. Let $p+q = n-1$, then for each $i \geq 0$, the multiplication map by g^{2i+1}*

$$g^{2i+1} : D^{p-i,q-i} \to D^{p+i+1,q+i+1}$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, we have

$$D^{p+i+1,q+i+1} = g^{2i+1}D^{p-i,q-i}$$

2. Let $p+q = n$, then for each $i \geq 0$, the multiplication map by g^{2i}

$$g^{2i} : D^{p-i,q-i} \to D^{p+i,q+i}$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, we have

$$D^{p+i,q+i} = g^{2i}D^{p-i,q-i}$$

Proof. From the previous proposition it is 1-1. And for dimensions reason (since $C^n_{p-i}C^n_{q-i} = C^n_{p+i+1}C^n_{q+i+1}$ if $p+q=n-1$, and $C^n_{p-i}C^n_{q-i} = C^n_{p+i}C^n_{q+i}$ if $p+q=n$) then it is an isomorphism. ■

The following proposition gives more detail about the multiplication by g

Proposition 2.5 *The multiplication map by g on $D^{p,q}$ is*

1. *one to one if and only if $p+q \leq n-1$.*
2. *bijective if and only if $p+q = n-1$.*
3. *onto if and only if $p+q \geq n-1$.*

Proof. The only if part of the propostion is due simply to dimension reasons, so that parts 1) and 2) are direct consequences of Kulkarni's Lemma.

Let now $i \geq 0$, $p_0 + q_0 = n-1$ for some $p_0, q_0 \geq 0$ and

$$g : D^{p_0+i,q_0+i} \to D^{p_0+i+1,q_0+i+1}$$

Remark that the restriction of the map g to the subspace $g^{2i}D^{p_0-i,q_0-i}$ of D^{p_0+i,q_0+i} is onto since its image is exactly $g^{2i+1}D^{p_0-i,q_0-i} = D^{p_0+i+1,q_0+i+1}$ by the previous proposition. The proof is similar in case there exists $p_0, q_0 \geq 0$ such that $p_0 + q_0 = n$. This completes the proof of the proposition. ■

3 The natural Inner product and the Hodge star operator on $D^{p,q}$

3.1 The natural Inner product on $D^{p,q}$

The natural metric on $\Lambda^{*p}V$ induces in a standard way an inner product on $D^{p,q} = \Lambda^{*p}V \otimes \Lambda^{*q}V$. We shall denote it by $<,>$.

We extend $<,>$ to $\mathcal{D}$ by declaring that $D^{p,q} \perp D^{r,s}$ if $p \neq r$ or if $q \neq s$.

Theorem 3.1 *If $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ then*

$$< g\omega_1, \omega_2 > = < \omega_1, c\omega_2 > \tag{7}$$

that is the adjoint of the multiplication by g is the contraction map c.

Proof. Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V^*. Since the contraction map c and the multiplication by g are linear it suffices to prove the theorem for

$\omega_2 = e_{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_{p+1}} \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{j_{q+1}}$ and $\omega_1 = e_{k_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{k_p} \otimes e_{l_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{l_q}$

where $i_1 < ... < i_{p+1}; j_1 < ... < j_{q+1}; k_1 < ... < k_p$ and $l_1 < ... < l_q$. Then

$$g\omega_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \wedge e_{k_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{k_p} \otimes e_i \wedge e_{l_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{l_q}$$

therefore

$$< g\omega_1, \omega_2 >= \sum_{i=1}^{n} < e_i \wedge e_{k_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{k_p}, e_{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_{p+1}} >< e_i \wedge e_{l_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{l_q}, e_{j_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{j_{q+1}} >$$

So it is zero unless if

$$e_{k_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{k_p} = e_{i_1} \wedge ... \hat{e}_{i_r} ... \wedge e_{i_{p+1}}$$

$$e_{l_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{l_q} = e_{j_1} \wedge ... \hat{e}_{j_s} ... \wedge e_{j_{q+1}}$$

and $i_r = j_s$ for some r, s. So that in this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} < g\omega_1, \omega_2 > &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} < e_i \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge ... \hat{e}_{i_r} ... \wedge e_{i_{p+1}}, e_{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_{p+1}} > \\ &\qquad < e_i \wedge e_{j_1} \wedge ... \hat{e}_{j_s} .. \wedge e_{j_{q+1}}, e_{j_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{j_{q+1}} > \\ &= (-1)^{r+s} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$c\omega_2 = 0 \ \text{ if } \{i_1, ..., i_{p+1}\} \cap \{j_1, ..., i_{q+1}\} = \emptyset$$

otherwise,

$$c\omega_2 = \sum_{\substack{i_r = j_s \\ 1 \le r \le p+1 \\ 1 \le s \le q+1}} (-1)^{r+s} e_{1_1} \wedge ... \hat{e}_{i_r} .. \wedge e_{i_{p+1}} \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge ... \hat{e}_{j_s} .. \wedge e_{j_{q+1}}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} < \omega_1, c\omega_2 >= \sum_{\substack{i_r = j_s \\ 1 \le r \le p+1 \\ 1 \le s \le q+1}} (-1)^{r+s} < e_{k_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{k_p}, < e_{i_1} \wedge ... \hat{e}_{i_r} .. \wedge e_{i_{p+1}} > \\ < e_{l_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{l_q} \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge ... \hat{e}_{j_s} .. \wedge e_{j_{q+1}} \end{aligned}$$

which is zero unless if

$$e_{k_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k_p} = e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \hat{e}_{i_r} \dots \wedge e_{i_{p+1}}$$

$$e_{l_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{l_q} = e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \hat{e}_{j_s} \dots \wedge e_{j_{q+1}}$$

and $i_r = j_s$ for some r, s. In such case it is $(-1)^{r+s}$. This completes the proof. ∎

3.2 Hodge star operator

The Hodge star operator $* : \Lambda^p V^* \to \Lambda^{n-p} V*$ extends in a natural way to a linear operator $* : \mathcal{D}^{p,q} \to \mathcal{D}^{n-p,n-q}$. If $\omega = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2$ then we define

$$*\omega = *\theta_1 \otimes *\theta_2$$

Note that $*\omega(.,.) = \omega(*.,*.)$ as a bilinear form. Many properties of the ordinary Hodge star operator can be extended to this new operator. We prove some of them below:

Proposition 3.2 *For all $\omega, \theta \in D^{p,q}$, we have*

$$< \omega, \theta >= *(\omega. * \theta) = *(*\omega.\theta) \tag{8}$$

Proof. Let $\omega = \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2$ and $\theta = \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega. * \theta &= (\omega_1 \wedge *\theta_1) \otimes (\omega_2 \wedge *\theta_2) \\ &=< \omega_1, \theta_1 > *1 \otimes < \omega_2, \theta_2 > *1 \\ &=< \omega, \theta > *1 \otimes *1 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ∎

The proof of the following properties is similar and straightforward

Proposition 3.3 1. *For all p, q, on $D^{p,q}$ we have*

$$** = (-1)^{(p+q)(n-p-q)} Id$$

where Id is the identity map on $D^{p,q}$.

2. *For all $\omega_1 \in D^{p,q}, \omega_2 \in D^{n-p,n-q}$ we have*

$$< \omega_1, *\omega_2 >= (-1)^{(p+q)(n-p-q)} < *\omega_1, \omega_2 >$$

3. *If* $\bar{\omega} : \Lambda^p \to \Lambda^p$ *denotes the linear operator corresponding to* $\omega \in D^{p,p}$*, then*
$$*\bar{\omega}* : \Lambda^{n-p} \to \Lambda^{n-p}$$
is the linear operator corresponding to $*\omega \in D^{n-p,n-p}$.

Using the Hodge star operator we can provide a nice formula relating the multiplication by g and the contraction map c, as follows:

Theorem 3.4 *For every* $\omega \in D^{p,q}$*, we have*

$$g\omega = *c*\omega \tag{9}$$

that is the following diagram is commutative for all p, q

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^{p,q} \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "*"] & D^{p+1,q+1} \\
D^{n-p,n-q} \arrow[r, "c"] & D^{n-p-1,n-q-1} \arrow[u, "*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of theorem 3.1. Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V^*, and let

$$\omega = e_{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_p} \otimes e_{j_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{j_q}$$

then

$$g\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_p} \otimes e_i \wedge e_{j_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{j_q}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$*\omega = \epsilon(\rho)\epsilon(\sigma) e_{i_{p+1}} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_n} \otimes e_{j_{q+1}} \wedge ... \wedge e_{j_n}$$

so that

$$c*\omega = \sum_{\substack{i_r = j_s \\ p+1 \le r \le n \\ q+1 \le s \le n}} (-1)^{r+s} \epsilon(\rho)\epsilon(\sigma) e_{i_{p+1}} \wedge ...\hat{e}_{i_r} .. \wedge e_{i_n} \otimes e_{j_{q+1}} \wedge ...\hat{e}_{j_s} .. \wedge e_{j_n}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
c\omega &= \sum_{\substack{i_r = j_s \\ p+1 \le r \le n \\ q+1 \le s \le n}} (-1)^{r+s} \epsilon(\rho)\epsilon(\sigma) * e_{i_{p+1}} \wedge ...\hat{e}_{i_r} .. \wedge e_{i_n} \otimes * e_{j_{q+1}} \wedge ...\hat{e}_{j_s} .. \wedge e_{j_n} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i_r = j_s \\ p+1 \le r \le n \\ q+1 \le s \le n}} e_{i_r} \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_p} \otimes e_{j_s} \wedge e_{j_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{j_q} \\
&= g\omega
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ∎

As a direct consequence of the previous theorem and proposition 2.5, we have

Corollary 3.5 *The contraction map c on $D^{p,q}$ is*

1. *onto if and only if $p+q\leq n-1$.*
2. *bijective if and only if $p+q=n-1$.*
3. *one to one if and only if $p+q\geq n-1$.*

Corollary 3.6 *For all $p,q\geq 0$ such that $p+q\leq n-1$, we have the orthogonal decomposition*

$$D^{p+1,q+1}=\operatorname{Ker} c\oplus gD^{p,q}$$

where $c:D^{p+1,q+1}\to D^{p,q}$ is the contraction map.

Proof. First note that if $\omega_1\in\ker c$ and $g\omega_2\in gD^{p,q}$ then by formula 7, we have

$$<\omega_1,g\omega_2>=<c\omega_1,\omega_2>=0$$

Next since g is one to one and c is onto, we have

$$\dim(gD^{p,q})=\dim D^{p,q}=\dim(\operatorname{image} c)$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Remark 3.1
1. *If $p+q>n-1$, then we have $\ker c=0$ and $D^{p+1,q+1}$ is isomorphic to some $g^rD^{s,t}$ with $s+t\leq n-1$ by corollary 2.4.*
2. *Note that in general $\operatorname{Ker} c$ is not irreducible, see [3] for the reduction matter.*

3.3 Orthogonal decomposition of $D^{p,q}$

Following Kulkarni we call the elements in $\ker c\subset D^{p,q}$ effective elements of $D^{p,q}$. And shall be denoted by $E^{p,q}$.

So if we apply corollary 3.6 several times we obtain the orthogonal decomposition of $D^{p,q}$:

$$D^{p,q}=E^{p,q}\oplus gE^{p-1,q-1}\oplus g^2E^{p-2,q-2}\oplus ...\oplus g^rD^{p-r,q-r} \tag{10}$$

where $r = \min\{p, q\}$.
In this section, we will see how double forms decompose explicitly under this orthogonal decomposition. To simplify the exposition, we shall consider only the case where $p = q$.

First, note that formula 6, for $\omega \in E^{p,p}$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} c^k(\frac{g^l}{l!}\omega) &= \prod_{i=1}^{i=k}(n-2p-l+i)\frac{g^{l-k}}{(l-k)!}.\omega \qquad \text{if} \quad l \geq k \\ c^k(g^l\omega) &= 0 \qquad \text{if} \quad l < k \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

With respect to the previous orthogonal decomposition, let $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p} g^i\omega_{p-i} \in D^{p,p}$ where $\omega_{p-i} \in E^{p-i,p-i}$, then using the previous formula 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c^k(\omega) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p} c^k(g^i\omega_{p-i}) = \sum_{i=k}^{p} c^k(g^i\omega_{p-i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=k}^{p} i!\prod_{j=1}^{j=k}(n-2(p-i)-i+j)\frac{g^{i-k}}{(i-k)!}\omega_{p-i} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$c^k(\omega) = \sum_{i=k}^{p} i!\prod_{j=1}^{j=k}(n-2p+i+j)\frac{g^{i-k}}{(i-k)!}\omega_{p-i} \tag{12}$$

Taking in the previous formula $k = p, p-1, p-2, .., k, .., 0$ respectively, and solving for ω_k we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p!n!}{(n-p)!}\omega_0 &= c^p(\omega) \\ \frac{(p-1)!(n-2)!}{(n-p-1)!}\omega_1 &= c^{p-1}(\omega) - \frac{1}{n}g.c^p(\omega) \\ \frac{(p-2)!(n-4)!}{(n-p-2)!}\omega_2 &= c^{p-2}(\omega) - \frac{1}{n-2}gc^{p-1}(\omega) + \frac{1}{2!(n-2)(n-1)}g^2c^p(\omega) \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{(p-k)!(n-2k)!}{(n-p-k)!}\omega_k &= c^{p-k}(\omega) + \sum_{r=1}^{k}\frac{(-1)^r}{r!\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(n-2k+2+i)}g^r c^{p-k+r}(\omega) \\ &\vdots \\ \omega_p &= \omega + \sum_{r=1}^{p}\frac{(-1)^r}{r!\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(n-2p+2+i)}g^r c^r(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\omega_p = \operatorname{con}\omega$ is the conformal component defined by Kulkarni.
We have therefore proved the following theorem which generalizes a similar classical result in the case where ω is the Riemann curvature tensor:

Theorem 3.7 *With respect to the orthogonal decomposition 10, each* $\omega \in D^{p,p}$ *is decomposed as follows*

$$\omega = \omega_p + g.\omega_{p-1} + ... + g^p.\omega_0$$

where

$$\omega_k = \frac{(n-p-k)!}{(p-k)!(n-2k)!}\left[c^{p-k}(\omega) + \sum_{r=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^r}{\prod_{i=0}^{r-1}(n-2k+2+i)} \frac{g^r}{r!} c^{p-k+r}(\omega)\right]$$

In particular, for $\omega = R$, we recover the well known decomposition of Riemann curvature tensor

$$R = W + \frac{1}{n-2}(c(R) - \frac{1}{n}g.c^2(R))g + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}c^2(R).g^2$$

4 The algebra of curvature structures

Remark that from the definition of the product (see formula 1), we have

$$\omega_1.\omega_2 = (-1)^{pr+qs}\omega_2.\omega_1$$

Then following, Kulkarni, we define the algebra of curvature structures to be the commutative sub-algebra $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{p\geq 0} \mathcal{C}^p$, where $\mathcal{C}^p$ denotes the symmetric elements of $D^{p,p}$. That is the sub-algebra of symmetric double forms.

Another basic map in $D^{p,q}$ is the first Bianchi sum, denoted $\mathcal{B}$. It maps $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ into $\mathcal{D}^{p+1,q-1}$ and is defined as follows. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$, set $\mathcal{B}\omega = 0$ if $q = 0$. Otherwise set

$$\mathcal{B}\omega(x_1\wedge ...\wedge x_{p+1}, y_1\wedge ...\wedge y_{q-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{p+1}(-1)^j \omega(x_1\wedge ...\wedge \hat{x}_j\wedge ...x_{p+1}, x_j\wedge y_1\wedge ...\wedge y_{q-1})$$

where $\hat{}$ denotes omission.
It is easy to show that for $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}, \theta \in \mathcal{D}^{r,s}$, one have [3]

$$\mathcal{B}(\omega.\theta) = \mathcal{B}\omega.\theta + (-1)^{p+q}\omega.\mathcal{B}\theta$$

Consequently, ker$\mathcal{B}$ is closed under multiplication in $\mathcal{D}$.
The algebra of curvature structures satisfying the first Bianchi identity is defined to be $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C} \cap \ker\mathcal{B}$

4.1 Sectional curvature

Let G_p denote the Grassman algebra of p-planes in V, and $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^p$. We define the sectional curvature of ω to be

$$K_\omega(P) = \omega(e_1 \wedge ... \wedge e_p, e_1 \wedge ... \wedge e_p)$$

where $\{e_1, ..., e_p\}$ is any orthonormal basis of V.

Using formula 2, we can evaluate the sectional curvature of the tensors $g^p\omega$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^r$ and $\{e_1, ..., e_{p+r}\}$ orthonormal , as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &g^p\omega(e_1 \wedge ... \wedge e_{p+r}, e_1 \wedge ... \wedge e_{p+r}) \\ &=p! \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<...<i_r\leq p+r} \omega(e_{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_r}, e_{i_1} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_r}) = p! \mathrm{trace}\, \omega_{|\Lambda^r P} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where P denotes the plane spanned by $\{e_1, ..., e_{p+r}\}$.

The sectional curvature K_ω determines generically ω. Precisely, for $\omega, \theta \in \mathcal{C}_1^p$, the equality $K_\omega = K_\theta$ implies $\omega = \theta$ (cf. prop. 2.1 in [3]). In particular we have the following characterization of the curvature structures $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_1^p$ with constant sectional curvature

$$K_\omega \equiv c \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad \omega = c\frac{g^p}{p!} \tag{14}$$

Next, we shall prove a useful explicit formula for the Hodge star operator

Theorem 4.1 *For $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_1^p$ and $1 \leq p \leq k \leq n$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{(k-p)!} * (g^{k-p}\omega) = \sum_{r=\max\{0,p-n+k\}}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{r+p}}{r!} \frac{g^{n-k-p+r}}{(n-k-p+r)!} c^r\omega \tag{15}$$

In particular for $k = n$ and $k = n-1$ respectively, we get

$$*(\frac{g^{n-p}\omega}{(n-p)!}) = \frac{1}{p!}c^p\omega \quad \text{and} * (\frac{g^{n-p-1}\omega}{(n-p-1)!}) = \frac{c^p\omega}{p!}g - \frac{c^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}\omega \tag{16}$$

Proof. It is not difficult to check that

$$\sum_{1\leq i_1,i_2,...,i_p\leq n} \omega(e_{i_1}\wedge...\wedge e_{i_p}, e_{i_1}\wedge...\wedge e_{i_p}) = \sum_{1\leq i_1,i_2,...,i_p\leq k} \omega(e_{i_1}\wedge...\wedge e_{i_p}, e_{i_1}\wedge...\wedge e_{i_p})$$

$$+\sum_{r=0}^{p-1}(-1)^{r+p+1}C_r^p \sum_{k+1\leq i_{r+1},...,i_p\leq n} c^r\omega(e_{i_{r+1}} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_p}, e_{i_{r+1}} \wedge ... \wedge e_{i_p})$$

Then using formula 13 the previous formula becomes

$$c^p\omega = p!\frac{g^{k-p}}{(k-p)!}\omega(e_{i_1}\wedge ...\wedge e_{i_k}, e_{i_1}\wedge ...\wedge e_{i_k}) + \sum_{r=\max\{0,p-n+k\}}^{p-1}(-1)^{r+p+1}C_r^p$$
$$\frac{(p-r)!}{(n-k-p+r)!}g^{n-k-p+r}c^r\omega(e_{i_{k+1}}\wedge ...\wedge e_{i_n}, e_{i_{k+1}}\wedge ...\wedge e_{i_n})$$

Finally, note that the general term of the previous sum is $c^p\omega$ if $r = p$. This completes the proof, since both sides of the equation satisfy the first Bianchi identity. ■

The following corollary is a direct consequence of the previous theorem.

Corollary 4.2 *1. For $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_1^p$ and $1 \leq p \leq n$ we have*

$$*\omega = \sum_{r=\max\{0,2p-n\}}^{p}\frac{(-1)^{r+p}}{r!}\frac{g^{n-2p+r}}{(n-2p+r)!}c^r\omega \tag{17}$$

2. For all $0 \leq k \leq n$ we have

$$*\frac{g^k}{k!} = \frac{g^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}$$

Theorem 4.3 *With respect to the decomposition 3.7, we have for $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p} g^{p-i}\omega_i$*

$$*\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,n-p\}}(p-i)!(-1)^i\frac{1}{(n-p-i)!}g^{n-p-i}\omega_i \tag{18}$$

In particular if $n = 2p$, we have

$$*\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^i g^{p-i}\omega_i$$

Proof. First, let $\omega \in E_1^i$ be effective then formula 15 shows that

$$\frac{1}{(k-i)!} * (g^{k-i}\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i-n+k > 0, \\ \frac{(-1)^i}{(n-k-i)!}g^{n-k-i}\omega & \text{if } i-n+k \leq 0 \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

Next, let $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p} g^{p-i}\omega_i$, where $\omega_i \in E_1^i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} *\omega &= \sum_{i=0}^{p} *(g^{p-i}\omega_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,n-p\}} (p-i)!\frac{(-1)^i}{(n-p-i)!} g^{n-p-i}\omega_i \end{aligned}$$

∎

Corollary 4.4 *With respect to the decomposition 10, we have for* $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p} g^{p-i}\omega_i$

$$*(g^l\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,n-p-l\}} (p-i+l)!\frac{(-1)^i}{(n-p-l-i)!} g^{n-p-l-i}\omega_i \tag{20}$$

Proof. First formula 18 implies that

$$*(g^l\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p+l,n-p-l\}} (p-i+l)!\frac{(-1)^i}{(n-p-l-i)!} g^{n-p-l-i}(g^l\omega)_i$$

Next, note that

$$g^l\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p} g^{p+l-i}\omega_i$$

Consequently

$$(g^l\omega)_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > p \\ \omega_i & \text{if } i \leq p \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the corollary. ∎

5 The (p,q)-curvatures

Let (M,g) be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold and T_mM be its tangent space at a point $m \in M$. Let $D^{p,q}, \mathcal{C}^p, \mathcal{C}_1^p$... denote also the vector bundles over M having as fibers at m, the spaces $D^{p,q}(T_mM)$, $\mathcal{C}^p(T_mM)$, $\mathcal{C}_1^p(T_mM)$.... Note that all the above algebraic results, can be applied to the ring of all global sections of these bundles.

Remark that since the metric g and the Riemann curvature tensor R both satisfy the first Bianchi identity then so are all the tensors g^pR^q and $*(g^pR^q)$. The aim of this section is to sudy some geometric properties of these tensors. First we start with the case $q = 1$:

5.1 The p-curvature

Recall that the p-curvature [4, 5], defined for $0 \leq p \leq n-2$ and denoted by s_p, is the sectional curvature of the tensor

$$\frac{1}{(n-2-p)!} * (g^{n-2-p}R)$$

For a given tangent p-plane at $m \in M$, $s_p(P)$ coincides with the half of the scalar curvature at m of the totally geodesic submanifold $\exp_m P^{\perp}$. For $p = 0$ it is the the half of the usual scalar curvature, and for $p = n-2$ it coincides with the usual sectional curvature.

In this subsection, using the p-curvature and the previous results, we shall give a short proof for the following properties. Similar results were proved by a long calculation in [6] and [4].

Theorem 5.1 *1. For each $2 \leq p \leq n-2$, the p-curvature is constant if and only if (M, g) is with constant sectional curvature.*

2. For each $1 \leq p \leq n-1$, the Riemannian manifold (M, g) is Einstein if and only if the function $P \to s_p(P) - s_{n-p}(P^{\perp}) = \lambda$ is constant. Furthermore, in such case we have $\lambda = \frac{n-2p}{2n}c^2R$.

3. For each $2 \leq p \leq n-2$ and $p \neq \frac{n}{2}$, the function $P \to s_p(P) + s_{n-p}(P^{\perp}) = \lambda$ is constant if and only if the manifold (M, g) is with constant sectional curvature. Furthermore, in such case we have $\lambda = \frac{2p(p-1)+(n-2p)(n-1)}{2n(n-1)}c^2R$

4. Let $n = 2p$. Then the Riemannian manifold (M, g) is conformally flat with constant scalar curvature if and only if the function $P \to s_p(P) + s_p(P^{\perp}) = \lambda$ is constant. Furthermore, in such case we have $\lambda = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}c^2R$.

Proof. First we prove 1). Let $s_p \equiv c$ then the sectional curvature of the tensor $g^{n-2-p}R \in \mathcal{C}_1^{n-p}$ is constant. Therefore we have $\frac{1}{(n-2-p)!}g^{n-2-p}R = c\frac{g^{n-p}}{(n-p)!}$ and so by proposition 2.3 we have $(n-p)(n-p-1)R = cg^2$. That is R is with constant sectional curvature.

Next we prove 2). Suppose $s_p(P) - s_{n-p}(P^{\perp}) = c$ for all P, then

$$\frac{1}{(n-2-p)!}g^{n-2-p}R(*P, *P) - \frac{1}{(p-2)!}g^{p-2}R(P, P) = c \,\text{for all P}$$

then using formula 15 we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2}\frac{(-1)^r}{r!}\frac{g^{p-2+r}}{(p-2+r)!}c^rR(P,P)-\frac{1}{(p-2)!}g^{p-2}R(P,P)=c\,\text{for all P}$$

The left hand side is the sectional curvature of a curvature tensor which satisfies the first Bianchi identity then

$$-\frac{g^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}cR+\frac{g^p}{2(p!)}c^2R=c\frac{1}{p!}g^p$$

proposition 2.3, implies that

$$-cR+\frac{g}{2p}c^2R=c\frac{1}{p}g$$

and therefore

$$cR=\frac{c^2R-2c}{2p}g$$

so that (M,g) is an Einstein manifold. Furthermore, after taking the trace we get $c=\frac{n-2p}{2n}c^2R$.

Finally we prove 3) and 4). Suppose $s_p(P)+s_{n-p}(P^{\perp})=c$ for all P, then as in part 2) we have,

$$2\frac{g^{p-2}}{(p-2)!}R-\frac{g^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}cR+\frac{g^p}{2(p!)}c^2R=c\frac{1}{p!}g^p$$

then using 2.3 we get

$$2R-\frac{g}{(p-1)}cR+\frac{g^2}{2p(p-1)}c^2R=c\frac{1}{p(p-1)}g^2$$

Which implies that

$$2\omega_2-\frac{n-2p}{p-1}g\omega_1+(2+\frac{(n-2p)(n-1)}{p(p-1)})g^2\omega_0=\frac{c}{p(p-1)}g^2$$

where $R=\omega_2+g\omega_1+g^2\omega_0$. Then if $n\neq 2p$ then $\omega_2=\omega_1=0$ and therefore the sectional curvature of (M,g) is constant. In the case $n=2p$, we have $\omega_2=0$ and $c=2\omega_0p(p-1)=\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}c^2R$. So that (M,g) is conformally flat with constant scalar curvature. ∎

5.2 The (p,q)-curvatures

The (p,q)-curvatures are the p-curvatures of the Gauss-Kronecker tensor R^q (that is the product of the Riemann tensor R with itself k-times in the ring of curvature structures). Precisely, they are defined by

Definition 5.1 *The (p,q)-curvature, denoted $s_{(p,q)}$, for $1 \leq q \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $0 \leq p \leq n-2q$, is the sectional curvature of the following (p,q)-curvature tensor*

$$R_{(p,q)} = \frac{1}{(n-2q-p)!} * \left(g^{n-2q-p} R^q\right) \tag{21}$$

In other words, $s_{(p,q)}(P)$ is the sectional curvature of the tensor $\frac{1}{(n-2q-p)!} g^{n-2q-p} R^q$ at the orthogonal complement of P.

These curvatures include many of the well known curvatures.
Note that for $q=1$, we have $s_{(p,1)} = s_p$ coincides with the p-curvature. In particular, $s_{(0,1)}$ is the half of the scalar curvature and $s_{(n-2,1)}$ is the sectional curvature of (M,g).
For $p=0$ and $2q=n$, $s_{(0,\frac{n}{2})} = *R^{n/2}$ is up to a constant the Killing-Lipshitz curvature. More generally, $s_{(n-2q,q)}(P)$ is, up to a constant, the Killing-Lipshitz curvature of $P^{\perp}$. That is the $(2p)$-sectional curvatures defined by A. Thorpe in [7].

For $p=0$, $s_{(0,q)} = * \frac{1}{(n-2q)!} g^{n-2q} R^q = \frac{1}{(2q)!} c^{2q} R^q$ are scalar functions which generalize the usual scalar curvature. They are up to constants the integrands in the Weyl tube formula [8].
For $p=1$, $s_{(1,q)}$ are the curvatures of generalized Einstein tensors. Precisely, let us define the following:

Definition 5.2

1. *The $2q$-scalar curvature function, or the $2q$-H. Weyl curvature invariant, denoted h_{2q}, is the $(0,q)$-curvature. That is*

$$h_{2q} = s_{(0,q)} = \frac{1}{(2q)!} c^{2q} R^q$$

2. *The $2q$-Einstein tensor, denoted T_{2q}, is defined to be the $(1,q)$-curvature tensor, that is*

$$T_{2q} = * \frac{1}{(n-2q-1)!} g^{n-2q-1} R^q$$

By formula 16 we have

$$T_{2q}=\frac{1}{(2q)!}c^{2q}R^q-\frac{1}{(2q-1)!}c^{2q-1}R^q=h_{2q}-\frac{1}{(2q-1)!}c^{2q-1}R^q$$

For $q=1$ we recover the usual Einstein tensor $T_2=\frac{1}{2}c^2R-cR$. Note that $c^{2q-1}R^q$ can be considered as a generalization of the Ricci curvature. In a forthcoming paper [5] we shall prove that the $2q$-Einstein tensor is the gradiant of the total $2q$-scalar curvature function seen as a functional on the space of all Riemannian metrics with volume 1. Which generalize the classical well known result about the scalar curvature.
Finally note that in general $s_{(p,q)}(P)$ coincides also with the $2q$-scalar curvature of $P^{\perp}$.

5.3 Examples

1) Let (M,g) be with constant sectional curvature λ, then

$$R=\frac{\lambda}{2}g^2\qquad and\qquad R^q=\frac{\lambda^q}{2^q}g^{2q}$$

And therefore

$$*\frac{1}{(n-2q-p)!}g^{n-2q-p}R^q=*\frac{\lambda^q}{2^q(n-2q-p)!}g^{n-p}=\frac{\lambda^q(n-p)!}{2^q(n-2q-p)!}\frac{g^p}{p!}$$

so that the (p,q)-curvature is also constant and equal to $\frac{\lambda^q(n-p)!}{2^q(n-2q-p)!}$.
The converse will be discussed in the next section.

2) Let (M,g) be a Riemannian product of two Riemannian manifolds (M_1,g_1) and (M_2,g_2). If we index by i the invariants of the metric g_i for $i=1,2$, then

$$R=R_1+R_2\quad\text{and}\quad R^q=(R_1+R_2)^q=\sum_{i=0}^{q}C_i^qR_1^iR_2^{q-i}$$

consequently, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}h_{2q}=\frac{c^{2q}R^q}{(2q)!}&=\sum_{i=0}^{q}C_i^q\frac{c^{2q}}{(2q)!}(R_1^iR_2^{q-i})\\&=\sum_{i=0}^{q}C_i^q\frac{c^{2i}R_1^i}{(2i)!}\frac{c^{2q-2i}R_2^{q-i}}{(2q-2i)!}\\&=\sum_{i=0}^{q}C_i^q(h_{2i})_1(h_{2q-2i})_2\end{aligned}$$

Where we used the convention $h_0 = 1$.

3) Let (M, g) be a hypersurface of the Euclidean space. If B denotes the second fundamental form at a given point, then the Gauss equation shows that

$$R = \frac{1}{2}B^2 \qquad and \quad R^q = \frac{1}{2^q}B^{2q}$$

Consequently, if $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of B, then the eigenvalues of R^q are $\frac{(2q)!}{2^q}\lambda_{i_1}\lambda_{i_2}...\lambda_{i_{2q}}$ where $i_1 < ... < i_{2q}$. Therefore all the tensors g^pR^q are diagonalizable and their eigenvalues have the following form

$$g^pR^q(e_1...e_{p+2q}, e_1...e_{p+2q}) = \frac{p!(2q)!}{2^q} \sum_{1\leq i_1<...<i_{2q}\leq p+2q} \lambda_{i_1}...\lambda_{i_{2q}}$$

where $\{e_1, ...e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of B. In particular we have

$$h_{2q} = s_{(0,q)} = \frac{(2q)!}{2^q} \sum_{1\leq i_1<...<i_{2q}\leq n} \lambda_{i_1}...\lambda_{i_{2q}}$$

So they are, up to a constant, the symmetric functions in the eigenvalues of B.
and more generally, we have

$$s_{(p,q)}(e_{n-p+1}, ..., e_n) = \frac{(2q)!}{2^q} \sum_{1\leq i_1<...<i_{2q}\leq n-p} \lambda_{i_1}...\lambda_{i_{2q}}$$

4) Let (M, g) be a conformally flat manifold. Then it is well known that at each point of M, the Riemann curvature tensor is determined by a symmetric bilinear form h, precisely we have $R = g.h$. Consequently, $R^q = g^qh^q$.

Let $\{e_1, ...e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of h and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of h.

Then it is not difficult to see that all the tensors g^pR^q are also diagonalizable. The eigenvalues are given by

$$g^pR^q(e_1...e_{p+2q}, e_1...e_{p+2q}) = (p+q)!q! \sum_{1\leq i_1<...<i_q\leq p+2q} \lambda_{i_1}...\lambda_{i_q}$$

In particular the (p, q)-curvatures are determined by

$$s_{(p,q)}(e_{n-p+1}, ..., e_n) = \frac{(n-q-p)!q!}{(n-2q-p)!} \sum_{1\leq i_1<...<i_q\leq n-p} \lambda_{i_1}...\lambda_{i_q}$$

5.4 Properties

The following theorem generalizes a similar induction formula [4] for the p-curvature:

Theorem 5.2 *For* $1 \leq q \leq \frac{n}{2}$ *and* $1 \leq p \leq n-2q$ *we have*

$$\sum_{k=p}^{n} s_{(p,q)}(P, e_k) = (n-2q-p+1)s_{(p-1,q)}(P)$$

where P *is an arbitrary tangent* $(p-1)$*-plane and* $\{e_p, ..., e_n\}$ *is any orthonormal basis of* $P^{\perp}$*.*
In particular we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{2q}(e_i, e_i) = (n-2q)h_{2q}$$

Proof. Using 9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(n-2q-p)!} c * (g^{n-2q-p} R^q) &= \frac{1}{(n-2q-p)!} * g(g^{n-2q-p} R^q) \\ &= (n-2q-p+1) * \left(\frac{g^{n-2q-p+1}}{(n-2q-p+1)!} R^q\right) \end{aligned}$$

to finish the proof just take the sectionnal curvatures of both sides. ■

The following proposition is the only exception in this paper where one needs the use of the second Bianchi identity, see [5] for the proof:

Proposition 5.3 (Schur's theorem) *Let* $p \geq 1$ *and* $q \geq 1$*. If at every point* $m \in M$ *the* (p,q)*-curvature is constant (that is on the fiber at* m*), then it is constant.*

The following can be seen as the converse of a Thorpe's result [7]

Proposition 5.4 *If* R^s *and* R^{s+r} *are both with constant sectional curvature* λ *and* μ *respectively, such that* $\lambda \neq 0$ *and* $s + 2r \leq n$*. Then* R^r *is also with constant sectional curvature and equal to* $\frac{\mu s! r!}{\lambda (s+r)!}$*.*

Proof. Suppose

$$R^s = \lambda \frac{g^s}{s!} \qquad \text{and} \qquad R^{s+r} = \mu \frac{g^{(s+r)}}{(s+r)!}$$

then

$$\lambda \frac{g^s}{s!} R^r = \mu \frac{g^{(s+r)}}{(s+r)!}$$

then since $s + 2r \leq n$, proposition 2.3 shows that

$$\frac{\lambda}{s!} R^r = \mu \frac{g^r}{(s+r)!}$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Like the case of R^s, it is not true in general that if h_{2s} is constant then the higher scalar curvatures are constant, nevertheless we have the following result

Proposition 5.5 *If for some s the tensor R^s is with constant sectionnal curvature λ then we have for all $r \geq o$*

$$h_{2s+2r} = \frac{(n-2r)!}{(2s)!(n-2s-2r)!} \lambda h_{2r}$$

In particular, if n is even the Gauss-Bonnet integrand is determined by

$$h_n = \lambda h_{n-2s}$$

Proof. Suppose $R^s = \lambda \frac{g^{2s}}{(2s)!}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2s+2r} =& \frac{1}{(n-2s-2r)!} * (g^{n-2s-2r} R^{s+r}) \\ =& \frac{1}{(n-2s-2r)!} * (g^{n-2s-2r} \lambda \frac{g^{2s}}{(2s)!} R^r) \\ =& \frac{\lambda}{(2s)!(n-2s-2r)!} * (g^{n-2r} R^r) = \frac{(n-2r)!\lambda}{(2s)!(n-2s-2r)!} h_{2r} \end{aligned}$$

∎

Theorem 5.6

1. *For every (p,q) such that $2q \leq p \leq n-2q$, the (p,q)-curvature $s_{(p,q)} \equiv \lambda$ is constant if and only if the sectional curvature of R^q is constant and equal to $\frac{\lambda(2q)!(n-p-2q)!}{(n-p)!}$.*
2. *For every (p,q) such that $p < 2q$, the (p,q)-curvature $s_{(p,q)} \equiv c$ is constant if and only if $c^{2q-p}(R^q)$ is proportional to the metric. That is $c^{2q-p}(R^q) = const.g^p$.*

Proof. Recall that $s_{(p,q)} \equiv \lambda$ if and only if

$$\frac{g^{n-2q-p}}{(n-2q-p)!}R^q = \lambda\frac{g^{n-p}}{(n-p)!}$$

that is

$$g^{n-2q-p}(\frac{R^q}{(n-2q-p)!} - \lambda\frac{g^{2q}}{(n-p)!}) = 0$$

now, Let $2q \leq p \leq n-2q$, then by proposition 2.3 this is equivalent to

$$R^q = \lambda\frac{(n-2q-p)!}{(n-p)!}g^{2q}$$

Next, if $p < 2q$, then by remark 2.1 in section 2, our condition is equivalent to

$$c^{2q-p}(\frac{R^q}{(n-2q-p)!} - \lambda\frac{g^{2q}}{(n-p)!}) = 0$$

that is

$$c^{2q-p}(R^q) = const.g^p$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. ■

The following lemma provides a characterization of the previous condition on R^q and generalizes a similar result in the case of Ricci curvature ($p = q = 1$).

Lemma 5.7 *For $p < 2q$, the tensor $c^{2q-p}(R^q)$ is proportional to the metric g^p if and only if*

$$\omega_i = 0 \ \ for 1 \leq i \leq \min\{p, n-p\}$$

where $R^q = \sum_{i=0}^{2q} g^{2q-i}\omega_i$.

Proof. Formula 12 shows that

$$c^{2q-p}(R^q) = \sum_{i=2q-p}^{2q} i!\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{2q-p}(n-4q+i+j)\Big)\frac{g^{i-2q+p}}{(i-2q+p)!}\omega_{2q-i}$$

and therefore $c^{2q-p}(R^q) = \lambda g^p$ if and only if

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p}(2q-s)!\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{2q-p}(n-2q-s+j)\Big)\frac{g^{p-s}}{(p-s)!}\omega_s = \lambda g^p$$

where we changed the index to $s = 2q - i$. consequently,

$$g^{p-s}\omega_s = 0 \,\text{for}\, 1 \leq s \leq p, \text{and}\, \lambda = \frac{(2q)!}{p!}\big(\prod_{j=1}^{2q-p}(n - 2q + j)\big)\omega_0$$

By proposition 2.3, this is equivalent to $\omega_s = 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq n-p$ and $s \leq p$. Note that $g^{p-s}\omega_s = 0$ if $s > n-p$. This completes the proof of lemma. ■

Theorem 5.8 *1. Let $2q \leq r \leq n - 2q$, $n \neq 2r$ and $R^q = \sum_{i=0}^{2q} g^{2q-i}\omega_i$, then*

   (a) The function $P \to s_{(r,q)}(P) - s_{(n-r,q)}(P^\perp) \equiv \lambda$ is constant if and only if $\omega_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2q-1$ and $\big(\frac{(n-r)!}{(n-2q-r)!} - \frac{r!}{(r-2q)!}\big)\omega_0 = \lambda$.

   (b) The function $P \to s_{(r,q)}(P) + s_{(n-r,q)}(P^\perp) \equiv \lambda$ is constant if and only if $\omega_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2q$ and $\big(\frac{(n-r)!}{(n-2q-r)!} + \frac{r!}{(r-2q)!}\big)\omega_0 = \lambda$. That is R^q is with constant sectional curvature.

2. Let $2q \leq r \leq n - 2q$ and $n = 2r$, then

   (a) The function $P \to s_{(r,q)}(P) - s_{(r,q)}(P^\perp) \equiv \lambda$ is constant if and only if $\omega_i = 0$ for i odd such that $1 \leq i \leq 2q-1$ and $\lambda = 0$

   (b) The function $P \to s_{(r,q)}(P) + s_{(r,q)}(P^\perp) \equiv \lambda$ is constant if and only if $\omega_i = 0$ for i even and $2 \leq i \leq 2q$ and $2\frac{r!}{(r-2q)!}\omega_0 = \lambda$.

Proof. Let $k, l \geq 0$ be such that $k+p = n-l-p$ and $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{p} g^{p-i}\omega_i \in \mathcal{C}^p$, then

$$\frac{g^k}{k!}\omega - *(\frac{g^l}{l!}\omega) = \frac{g^k}{k!}\omega - \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,n-p-l\}} \frac{(p-i+l)!(-1)^i}{l!(n-p-l-i)!} g^{n-p-l-i}\omega_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-2p-l)!}\sum_{i=0}^{p}\big[1 - (-1)^i\frac{(p-i+l)!(n-2p-l)!}{l!(n-p-l-i)!}\big]g^{n-p-l-i}\omega_i$$

therefore,

$$\frac{g^k}{k!}\omega - *(\frac{g^l}{l!}\omega) = \lambda\frac{g^{n-l-p}}{(n-l-p)!} \tag{22}$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p}\big[1 - (-1)^i\frac{(p-i+l)!(n-2p-l)!}{l!(n-p-l-i)!}\big]g^{n-p-l-i}\omega_i$$

$$+\big[\omega_0 - \frac{(p+l)!(n-2p-l)!}{l!(n-p-l)!}\omega_0 - \lambda\frac{(n-2p-l)!}{(n-l-p)!}\big]g^{n-l-p} = 0$$

For $1 \leq i \leq p$, let

$$\alpha_i = 1 - (-1)^i \frac{(p-i+l)!(n-2p-l)!}{l!(n-p-l-i)!} = 1 - (-1)^i \frac{(s+l)!}{l!}\frac{k!}{(s+k)!}$$

where $s = p - i \leq p - 1$. It is clear that $\alpha_{2j+1} > 0$ and it is not difficult to check that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for i even, $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $k \neq l$. Also note that $\alpha_p = 1 - (-1)^p = 0$ since p is even.

Therefore in the case where $k \neq l$, the condition 22 is equivalent to

$$\omega_i = 0\, for\, 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \text{and}\, \lambda = \{\frac{(n-p-l)!}{(n-2p-l)!} - \frac{(p+l)!}{l!}\}\omega_0$$

In the case $k = l$, we have $\alpha_i = 1 - (-1)^i$ the condition 22 is therefore equivalent to

$$\omega_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i \text{ odd}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = 0$$

In a similar way, we have

$$\frac{g^k}{k!}\omega + *(\frac{g^l}{l!}\omega) = \lambda\frac{g^{n-l-p}}{(n-l-p)!} \tag{23}$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p}\big[1 + (-1)^i \frac{(p-i+l)!(n-2p-l)!}{l!(n-p-l-i)!}\big]g^{n-p-l-i}\omega_i$$
$$+\big[\omega_0 + \frac{(p+l)!(n-2p-l)!}{l!(n-p-l)!}\omega_0 - \lambda\frac{(n-2p-l)!}{(n-l-p)!}\big]g^{n-l-p} = 0$$

In the case $k \neq l$ this is equivalent to

$$\omega_i = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p \text{ and } \lambda = \{\frac{(n-p-l)!}{(n-2p-l)!} + \frac{(p+l)!}{l!}\}\omega_0$$

And in case $k = l$, we have $\alpha_i = 1 + (-1)^i$ and then condition 23 is then equivalent to

$$\omega_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i \text{ even}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = 2\frac{(p+l)!}{l!}\omega_0$$

To complete the proof of the theorem just notice that, for $2q \leq r \leq n-2q$, the condition $s_{(r,q)} \pm s_{(n-r,q)} \equiv \lambda$ is equivalent to

$$*(\frac{g^{n-2q-r}}{(n-2q-r)!}R^q) \pm \frac{g^{r-2q}}{(r-2q)!}R^q = \lambda \frac{g^r}{r!}$$

and then apply the previous result after taking $l = n-2q-r$ and $k = r-2q$ and $p = 2q$. ■

Remark. If $r < 2q$, then $s_{(n-r,q)} \equiv 0$. So that our condition becomes $s_{(r,q)} \equiv \lambda$ is constant, and such case was discussed above.

6 Generalized Avez type formula

The following theorem generalizes a result due to Avez [1] in the case when $n = 4$ and $\omega = \theta = R$.

Theorem 6.1 *Let $n = 2p$ and $\omega, \theta \in \mathcal{C}_1^p$, then*

$$*(\omega\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{r+p}}{(r!)^2} < c^r\omega, c^r\theta >$$

In particular if $n = 4q$, then the Gauss-Bonnet integrand is determined by

$$h_{4q} = \sum_{r=0}^{2q} \frac{(-1)^r}{(r!)^2} |c^r R^q|^2$$

Proof. Let $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_1^p$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_1^{n-p}$, then using formula 8 and corollary 4.2 we get

$$*(\omega\theta) =< \omega, *\theta >= \sum_{r=\max\{0,2p-n\}}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{r+p}}{(r!)(n-2p+r)!} < \omega, g^{n-2p+r}c^r\theta >$$

To complete the proof just take $n = 2p$ and use theorem 3.1. ■
The following corollary is an alternative way to write the previous formula.

Corollary 6.2 *Let $n = 2p$ and $\omega, \theta \in \mathcal{C}_1^p$, then*

$$*(\omega\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{r+p}}{(r!)^2} < g^r\omega, g^r\theta >$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the previous formula and corollary 2.2∎
The following result is of the same type as the previous one

Theorem 6.3 *With respect to the decomposition 10, let* $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{n-p} g^{n-p-i}\omega_i \in \mathcal{C}_1^{n-p}$ *and* $\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{p} g^{p-i}\theta_i \in \mathcal{C}_1^{p}$ *, then*

$$*(\omega\theta) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{p,n-p\}} (-1)^r (n-2r)! < \omega_i, \theta_i >$$

Proof. By formula 18 we have

$$*(\omega\theta) = < \omega, *\theta > = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,n-p\}} \frac{(-1)^i (p-i)!}{(n-p-i)!} < \omega, g^{n-p-i}\theta_i >$$

and therefore using lemma 6.4 below, we get

$$*(\omega\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{p,n-p\}} \frac{(-1)^i (p-i)!}{(n-p-i)!} < g^{n-p-i}\omega_i, g^{n-p-i}\theta_i >$$

After considering separately the cases $p < n-p, p = n-p$ and $p > n-p$ and the lemma below one can complete the proof easily. ∎

Lemma 6.4 *Let* $\omega_1 \in E_1^r, \omega_2 \in E_1^s$ *be effectives then*

$$< g^p\omega_1, g^q\omega_2 > = 0 \quad \textit{if} \quad (p \neq q) \quad \text{or} \quad (p = q \quad \text{and} \quad r \neq s)$$

Furthermore, in the case $p = q \geq 1$ *and* $r = s$*, we have*

$$< g^p\omega_1, g^p\omega_2 > = p!(\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}(n-2r-i)) < \omega_1, \omega_2 >$$

Proof. Recall that (see formula 11) $c^p(g^q\omega_2) = 0$ if $p > q$, and $c^q(g^p\omega_1) = 0$ if $p < q$. This proves the first part of the lemma. Also by the same formula and formula 7 we have

$$< g^p\omega_1, g^p\omega_2 > = < \omega_1, c^p(g^p\omega_2) > = < \omega_1, p!(\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}(n-2r-i))\omega_2 >$$

∎

Corollary 6.5 *Let $q = s + t$ then*

$$h_{2q} = \frac{1}{(n-2q)!} \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{2s,n-2s\}} (-1)^i (n-2i)! < (R^s)_i, (R^t)_i >$$

In particular, we have

$$h_{4q} = \frac{1}{(n-4q)!} \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{2q,n-2q\}} (-1)^i (n-2i)! < (R^q)_i, (R^q)_i >$$

Proof. Note that

$$h_{2q} = \frac{1}{(n-2q)!} * (g^{n-2q} R^q) = \frac{1}{(n-2q)!} * (g^k R^s g^l R^t)$$

where $k + l = n - 2q$ and $s + t = q$. Then we apply the previous theorem to get

$$h_{2q} = \frac{1}{(n-2q)!} \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{k+2s,l+2t\}} (-1)^i (n-2i)! < (g^k R^s)_i, (g^l R^t)_i >$$

Recall that $(g^k R^s)_i = R^s_i$ if $i \leq 2s$ otherwise it is zero. The same is true for $(g^l R^t)_i$. This completes the proof. ■

The special case $q = 1$ is of special interest. It provides an obstruction to the existence of an Einstein metric or a conformally flat metric with zero scalar curvature in arbitrary higher dimensions, as follows:

Theorem 6.6

1. *If (M, g) is an Einstein manifold with dimension $n \geq 4$, then $h_4 \geq 0$ and $h_4 \equiv 0$ if and only if (M, g) is flat.*
2. *If (M, g) is a conformally flat manifold with zero scalar curvature and dimension $n \geq 4$, then $h_4 \leq 0$ and $h_4 \equiv 0$ if and only if (M, g) is flat.*

Proof. Straightforward using the previous corollary and theorem 5.1.

The previous theorem can be generalized as follows. Its proof is also a direct consequence of the previous corollary and theorem 5.8.

Theorem 6.7 *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with dimension $n = 2r \geq 4q$, for some $q \geq 1$.*

1. *If* $s_{(r,q)}(P) = s_{(r,q)}(P^{\perp})$ *for all* r*-planes* P *then* $h_{4q} \geq 0$ *and* $h_{4q} \equiv 0$ *if and only if* (M, g) *is flat.*

2. *If* $s_{(r,q)}(P) = -s_{(r,q)}(P^{\perp})$ *for all* r*-planes* P *then* $h_{4q} \leq 0$ *and* $h_{4q} \equiv 0$ *if and only if* (M, g) *is flat.*

The previous two theorems generalize similar results of Thorpe [7] in the case $n = 4q$.

References

[1] Avez, A., *Applications de la formule de Gauss-Bonnet-Chern aux variétés de dimension 4*. CRAS 256 (1963) 5488-90.

[2] Besse, A. L., *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer Verlag.

[3] Kulkarni, R. S., *On Bianchi Identities*, Math. Ann. 199, 175-204(1972).

[4] Labbi, M. L. *Variétés Riemanniennes à p-courbure positive*, Thèse, Publication Université Montpellier II, (1995) France.

[5] Labbi, M. L. *On a variational formula for the H. Weyl curvature invariants*, to appear.

[6] Shen, B.-Y., Dillen, F., Verstraelen, L., and Vrancken, L., *Characterizations of Riemannian space forms, Einstein spaces and conformally flat spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, volume 128, Number 2, pages 589-598 (1999).

[7] Thorpe, J. A., *Some remarks on the Gauss-Bonnet integral*, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 18, No. 8 (1969).

[8] Weyl, H., *On the volume of tubes*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 61, 461-472 (1939).